

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/853,711	05/14/2001	John G. Gruber	08-887817US1	6622
7590 i 1/10/2004		EXAMINER		
Gowling Lafleur Henderson LLP			PALADINI, ALBERT WILLIAM	
Suite 2600				
160 Elgin Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Ottawa, K1P 1C3			2125	
CANADA				

DATE MAILED: 11/10/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/853,711	GRUBER, JOHN G.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Albert W Paladini	2125			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
THE - External form of the control o	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutoreply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS for concern application to become ABANDO	e timely filed days will be considered timely. rom the mailing date of this communication. DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>14 May 2001</u> .					
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)□	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)i	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documen application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applic city documents have been rece u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	cation No eived in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.						
3) 🛛 Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 or No(s)/Mail Date <u>9/25/01</u> .		il Date al Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2125

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter, which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Line 28 on page 9 states "if no, determine if U and A converge." Since, as shown on line 12 on page 8, U = 1 –A, the only condition which will allow U and A to converge is if the availability A and unavailability U are 50 % or 0.5. It is unknown if the MTTR and MTTF parameters will result in this convergence for all failure rates and repair rates stipulated. This condition cannot be known in advance.

Appropriate correction and clarification is required.

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Art Unit: 2125

Claim 2

Lines 5-6, recites, "until the summed unavailability and availability has been determined to converge." Since, as shown on line 12 on page 8, U = 1 –A, the only condition which will allow U and A to converge is if the availability A and unavailability U are 50 % or 0.5. It is unknown if the MTTR and MTTF parameters will result in this convergence for all failure rates and repair rates stipulated. This condition cannot be known in advance.

Appropriate correction and clarification is required.

Double Patenting

5. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

6. Claims 1-14 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-5 and 12 of

Application/Control Number: 09/853,711

Art Unit: 2125

copending Application No. 09/709340. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other.

The fundamental limitations of claims 1-14 in the instant application are distributed through claims 1-5 of copending application 09/709340, Basically, a link in a communications network is selected, a failure simulation is performed on the selected link, and the connection parameters of network availability and unavailability resulting from the failure are calculated.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Relevant Prior Art

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Ronnen (5699403) discloses a data processing system, which analyzes abnormal conditions occurring in a communications network, which computes conditional probabilities that specified abnormal conditions will result in system or subsystem failure, and which simulates network risks resulting from planned activities.

Puranik (6003090) discloses an apparatus method for assessing route availability by selecting a connection between a source and sink node, and by determining when failure occurs. A method and system are provided which determine network availability by taking into account the network topology and the changes thereto.

Art Unit: 2125

Boye (6009079) discloses a method and system for measuring cabling availability using polling techniques where an unsuccessful polling is considered to be the result of a break or unavailability of a link.

Croslin (6327669) discloses a system and method of restoring a network from a failure which includes a mechanism for determining the <u>availability</u> of portions of the restoral routes at the time of network failure to determine whether the portions can be utilized in the implemented restoral route or not. This provides an added degree of flexibility to the system that accounts for changes in network topology and configuration.

Collins (GB2342722) discloses a switching array, which simulates a sequence of interconnection failures within a multi-channel cable.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be direct to Albert W. Paladini whose telephone number is (572) 272-3748. The examiner can normally be reached from 7:30 to 3:30 PM on Monday, Tuesday, Thursday, and Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Leo P. Picard, can be reached on (572) 272-3749. The official fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

November 8, 2004

Albert W. Paladini Primary Examiner Art Unit 2125